arXiv:math/0309077v2 [math.FA] 15 Jan 2004

BOUNDARY TRIPLES AND WEYL FUNCTIONS FOR SINGULAR PERTURBATIONS OF SELF-ADJOINT OPERATORS

ANDREA POSILICANO

ABSTRACT. Given the symmetric operator $A_{\mathcal{N}}$ obtained by restricting the self-adjoint operator A to $\mathcal{N}$, a linear dense set, closed with respect to the graph norm, we determine a convenient boundary triple for the adjoint A_N^* and the corresponding Weyl function. These objects provide us with the self-adjoint extensions of $A_{\mathcal{N}}$ and their resolvents.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $A : D(A) \subseteq \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ be a self-adjoint operator on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Another self-adjoint operator $\widehat{A}$ is said to be a singular perturbation of A if the set $\mathcal{N} := \{\phi \in D(\widehat{A}) \cap D(A) \,:\, \widehat{A}\phi = A\phi\}$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}$ (see e.g. [11]). Since $\mathcal{N}$ is closed with respect to the graph norm on $D(A)$, the linear operator $A_{\mathcal{N}}$, obtained by restricting A to $\mathcal{N}$, is a densely defined closed symmetric operator. Thus $\widehat{A}$ is a singular perturbation of A if and only if it is a self-adjoint extension of $A_{\mathcal{N}}$ such that $D(\widehat{A}) \cap D(A) = \mathcal{N}$, where $\mathcal{N} \subsetneq D(A)$ is any dense set which is closed with respect to the graph norm on $D(A)$. Therefore all singular perturbations of A could be determined by using von Neumann's theory [14]. By such a theory, given a closed densely defined symmetric operator S, one has

$$D(S^*) = D(S) \oplus \mathcal{K}_+ \oplus \mathcal{K}_- \,, \quad S^*(\phi_0 + \phi_+ + \phi_-) = S\phi_0 + i\phi_+ - i\phi_- \,,$$

where the direct sum decomposition is orthogonal with respect to the graph inner product of S^* and $\mathcal{K}_\pm :=\mathrm{Ker}(-S^* \pm i)$ denotes the deficiency spaces. Any self-adjoint extension A_U of S is then obtained by restricting S^* to a subspace of the kind $D(S) \oplus \mathrm{Graph}\, U$, where $U : \mathcal{K}_+ \to \mathcal{K}_-$ is unitary. Alternatively one could determine the singular perturbations of A by Kreĭn's resolvent formula (see [12], [13], [18] for the cases where $\dim \mathcal{K}_\pm = 1$, $\dim \mathcal{K}_\pm < +\infty$, $\dim \mathcal{K}_\pm = +\infty$ respectively; also see [8]). This approach gives the resolvent difference

TO APPEAR IN: *METHODS OF FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS AND TOPOLOGY*

of any pair (in our situation A and $\hat{A}$) of self-adjoint extensions of S, thus allowing for a better understanding of the spectral properties of such extensions. Like in von Neumann's theory, also in Kreĭn's one a main role is played by the defect spaces, through the orthogonal projections onto $\mathcal{K}_\pm$. This is a conseguence of the fact that both these theories regard self-adjoint extensions of an arbitrary closed densely defined symmetric operator with equal defect indices. However in the case of singular perturbation the situation is simpler. Indeed here $A_\mathcal{N}$ is not an arbitrary symmetric operator but is the restriction to $\mathcal{N}$ of a given self-adjoint operator A. Thus, instead of the orthogonal projections onto $\mathcal{K}_\pm$, one can use the orthogonal projection $\pi : \mathcal{H}_+ \to \mathcal{N}^\perp$, where $\mathcal{H}_+$ denotes the Hilbert space given by the set $D(A)$ equipped with the scalar product $\langle \phi_1, \phi_2 \rangle_+ := \langle A\phi_1, A\phi_2 \rangle + \langle \phi_1, \phi_2 \rangle$, and the orthogonal decomposition $\mathcal{H}_+ = \mathcal{N} \oplus \mathcal{N}^\perp$ is used, being $\mathcal{N}$ closed in $\mathcal{H}_+$. More generally, since this gives advantages in concrete applications where usually a variant of π is what is known in advance, one can consider a bounded linear map $\tau : \mathcal{H}_+ \to \mathfrak{h}$, $\mathfrak{h}$ an auxiliary Hilbert space, such that $\mathcal{N} :=\mathrm{Ker}(\tau)$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathrm{Ran}(\tau) = \mathfrak{h}$, so that $\mathcal{H}_+ \simeq \mathrm{Ker}(\tau) \oplus \mathfrak{h}$. This alternative approach has been developed in [15] as regards Kreĭn's formula and in [17], where an additive decomposition of any singular perturbation is given and the explicit connection with von Neumann's theory is found. The approach contained in [15], [16], [17], looks simpler than the original ones (for example no knowledge of either $A^*_\mathcal{N}$ or $\mathcal{K}_\pm$ is needed), allows for a natural formulation in terms of (abstract) boundary conditions and makes easier to work out concrete applications where τ is the trace (restriction) map along some null subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$ and A is a (pseudo-)differential operator (see the examples contained in the quoted references).

Another approach, different from both von Neumann's and Kreĭn's theories, has been used to obtain self-adjoint extensions of a given symmetric operator S with equal defect indices: this is the theory of boundary triplets introduced by Bruk and Kochubei [4], [10] and then successively developed in many papers and books (see e.g. [9], [6], [7] and references therein). Here one needs to find a boundary triple $\{\mathfrak{h}, \gamma_1, \gamma_2\}$ for S^*, i.e. one needs a Hilbert space $\mathfrak{h}$ (with scalar product $[\cdot, \cdot]$) and two linear maps γ_1 and γ_2 on $D(S^*)$ to $\mathfrak{h}$ such that $\phi \mapsto (\gamma_1\phi, \gamma_2\phi)$ is surjective and

$$\langle S^*\phi, \psi \rangle - \langle \phi, S^*\psi \rangle = [\gamma_1\,\phi, \gamma_2\,\psi] - [\gamma_2\,\phi, \gamma_1\,\psi]\,.$$

Once a boundary triple is known, any self-adjoint extensions of S is then obtained by restricting S^* to the set of ϕ's such that the couple $(\gamma_2\phi, \gamma_1\phi)$ belongs to a self-adjoint relation.

Boundary triples theory, thanks to the concept of Weyl function successively introduced in [5], generalizing a concept earlier used by Weyl in the study of Sturm-Liouville problems, allows for a spectral analysis of the self-adjoint extensions of S (see e.g. [6], [3] and references therein).

The scope of this paper is to work out the theory of boundary triples and Weyl functions in the case of singular perturbations, making use of the orthogonal projection $\pi : \mathcal{H}_+ \to \mathcal{N}^\perp$ or better of its generalization given by a map $\tau : \mathcal{H}_+ \to \mathfrak{h}$. This is done in section 3, after that, in section 2, we have given a concise review (we refers to [6], [9] for the proofs) of the theory of boundary triples. In particular a convenient boundary triple for $A^*_{\mathcal{N}}$, and the corresponding Weyl function are given in Theorem 3.1. The successive Corollary 3.2 characterizes all singular perturbations of A in terms of (abstract) boundary conditions of the kind $\Theta\zeta_\phi = \tau\phi_*$, where Θ is self-adjoint on $\mathfrak{h}$. As we already said, in the case A is a differential operator, these are indeed concrete boundary conditions since usually τ is the (trace) evaluation map along some null subset. Finally in Theorem 3.4 we determine the possible eigenvectors (and their multiplicity) of the singular perturbations of a self-adjoint operator.

2. Boundary triples and Weyl Functions.

Let $S : D(S) \subseteq \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ be a densely defined closed symmetric operator on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and corresponding norm $\| \cdot \|$. We will suppose that S has equal defect indices $n_+ = n_-$, where $n_\pm :=\dim \mathcal{K}_\pm$ and $\mathcal{K}_\pm :=\mathrm{Ker}(-S^* \pm i)$.

A triple $\{\mathfrak{h}, \gamma_1, \gamma_2\}$, where $\mathfrak{h}$ is a Hilbert space with inner product $[\cdot, \cdot]$ and

$$\gamma_1 \,:\, D(S^*) \to \mathfrak{h}\,, \quad \gamma_2 \,:\, D(S^*) \to \mathfrak{h}\,,$$

are two linear maps such that

$$\gamma : D(S^*) \to \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}\,, \quad \gamma\phi := (\gamma_1\phi, \gamma_2\phi)$$

is surjective and

$$\langle S^*\phi, \psi\rangle - \langle \phi, S^*\psi\rangle = [\gamma_1\,\phi, \gamma_2\,\psi] - [\gamma_2\,\phi, \gamma_1\,\psi]\,.$$

The definition of boundary triple is well posed. Indeed let

$$P_\pm \,:\, D(S^*) \to \mathcal{K}_\pm$$

denotes the orthogonal projection given the decomposition

$$D(S^*) = D(S) \oplus \mathcal{K}_+ \oplus \mathcal{K}_-\,,$$

where the direct sum is orthogonal with respect to the graph inner product of S^*. Then

$$\gamma_1 := i\,P_+ - i\,UP_-\,,\qquad \gamma_2 := P_+ + UP_-\,,$$

where $\mathfrak{h} = \mathcal{K}_+$ and $U : \mathcal{K}_- \to \mathcal{K}_+$ is an isometry, give a boundary triple for S^*. This also shows, since U is arbitrary, that a boundary triple is not unique.

A closed subspace $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathfrak{h}\oplus\mathfrak{h}$ is said to be a symmetric closed relation if

$$\forall\,(f_1,g_1),\,(f_2,g_2)\in\mathcal{G}\qquad [f_1,g_2] = [g_1,f_2]\,.$$

$\mathcal{G}$ is then said to be a self-adjoint relation if it is maximal symmetric, i.e. if it does not exists a closed symmetric relation $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ such that $\mathcal{G}\subsetneq\widehat{\mathcal{G}}$. Of course the graph of a self-adjoint operator is a particular case of self-adjoint relation.

The main result of boundary triples theory is given in the following

Theorem 2.1. ([9], Theorem 1.6, chapter 3) *Let $\{\mathfrak{h},\gamma_1,\gamma_2\}$ be a boundary triple for S^*. Then any self-adjoint extension of S is of the kind $S^*_{\mathcal{G}}$, where $S^*_{\mathcal{G}}$ denotes the restriction of S^* to the subspace $\{\phi\in D(S^*) : (\gamma_2\phi,\gamma_1\phi)\in\mathcal{G}\}$, $\mathcal{G}$ being a self-adjoint relation.*

Form now on we will denote by A_Θ the self-adjoint extension which corresponds to $\mathcal{G}$ =graph$(-\Theta)$, where Θ is a self-adjoint operator on $\mathfrak{h}$, and by A the self-adjoint extension corresponding to the self-adjoint relation $\mathcal{G} = \{0\}\times\mathfrak{h}$.

Given the boundary triple $\{\mathfrak{h},\gamma_1,\gamma_2\}$ for S^*, the Weyl function of S corresponding to $\{\mathfrak{h},\gamma_1,\gamma_2\}$ is defined as the unique map

$$\Gamma\,:\,\rho(A)\to\mathsf{B}(\mathfrak{h})$$

such that

$$\forall\,\phi_z\in\mathcal{K}_z := \mathrm{Ker}(-S^*+z)\,,\qquad \Gamma(z)\gamma_2\,\phi_z = \gamma_1\,\phi_z\,.$$

Since, for any $z\in\rho(A)$, γ_1 and γ_2 are bijections on $\mathcal{K}_z$ to $\mathfrak{h}$, one can define

$$G(z) := \left(\gamma_{2|\mathcal{K}_z}\right)^{-1}\,,$$

and thus

$$\Gamma(z) = \gamma_1 G(z)\,.$$

Moreover

$$\Gamma(z) - \Gamma(w)^* = (z-\bar w)\,G(w)^*G(z)\,,$$

i.e. Γ is a Q-function of S belonging to the extension A in the sense of Kreĭn.

Weyl functions can be used to deduce spectral properties of the extensions:

Theorem 2.2. ([6], Propositions 1 and 2, section 2)

$$z \in \rho(A_\Theta) \cap \rho(A) \iff 0 \in \rho(\Theta + \Gamma(z))$$

and

$$\lambda \in \sigma_i(A_\Theta) \cap \rho(A) \iff 0 \in \sigma_i(\Theta + \Gamma(\lambda))\,, \quad i = p, c, r\,,$$

where $\sigma_p(A_\Theta)$, $\sigma_c(A_\Theta)$, $\sigma_r(A_\Theta)$ denote the point, continuous and residual spectrun respectively. Moreover one has the Kreĭn's formula

$$(-A_\Theta + z)^{-1} = (-A + z)^{-1} + G(z)(\Theta + \Gamma(z))^{-1} G(\bar{z})^*\,.$$

The Weyl function Γ is a Herglotz or Nevanlinna operator-valued function. This means that Γ is holomorphic in the upper half complex plane $\mathbb{C}_+$ and the operator $\Gamma(z)$ is dissipative, i.e.

$$\forall\, z \in \mathbb{C}_+\,, \qquad \frac{1}{2i}\,(\Gamma(z) - \Gamma(z)^*) \geq 0\,.$$

Thus, by the celebrated Nevanlinna-Riesz-Herglotz decomposition, one has

$$\Gamma(z) = C + \int_R d\Sigma(t)\,\left(\frac{1}{t - z} - \frac{t}{1 + t^2}\right)\,,$$

where $C \in \mathsf{B}(\mathfrak{h})$ is self-adjoint and the $\mathsf{B}(\mathfrak{h})$-valued measure Σ is self-adjont and such that

$$\int_R \frac{d\Sigma(t)}{1 + t^2} \;\in \mathsf{B}(\mathfrak{h})\,.$$

Given a (unbounded) self-adjoint operator Θ on $\mathfrak{h}$ and a $\mathsf{B}(\mathfrak{h})$-valued Herglotz function $\Gamma : \mathbb{C}_+ \to \mathsf{B}(\mathfrak{h})$, $\Theta + \Gamma(z)$ is boundedly invertible for any $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$ (see [15], Proposition 2.1) and $(\Theta + \Gamma)^{-1}$ is again a $\mathsf{B}(\mathfrak{h})$-valued Herglotz function.

Lemma 2.3. ([3], Lemma 3.2) *The spectral measure of A_Θ is equivalent to the self-adjoint measure appearing in the Nevanlinna-Riesz-Herglotz decomposition of $(\Theta + \Gamma)^{-1}$.*

3. singular perturbations

Let $A : D(A) \subseteq \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ be a self-adjoint operator on the Hilbert space $(\,\mathcal{H}, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle\,)$. We denote by $(\,\mathcal{H}_+, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_+)$ the Hilbert space given by the set $D(A)$ equipped with the scalar product $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_+$ leading to the graph norm, i.e. $\langle\phi_1, \phi_2\rangle_+ := \langle(A^2 + 1)^{1/2}\phi_1, (A^2 + 1)^{1/2}\phi_2\rangle$.

Let $\mathcal{N} \subsetneq D(A)$ be a linear dense set which is closed with respect to the graph norm. Being $\mathcal{N}$ closed we have $\mathcal{H}_+ = \mathcal{N} \oplus \mathcal{N}^\perp$ and we can then consider the orthogonal projection $\pi : \mathcal{H}_+ \to \mathcal{N}^\perp$. More generally, since this gives advantages in concrete applications, we will consider a bounded linear map $\tau : \mathcal{H}_+ \to \mathfrak{h}$, where $(\,\mathfrak{h}, [\cdot,\cdot]\,)$ is a Hilbert space.

We suppose that τ is surjective and that $\mathcal{N}:=\mathrm{Ker}(\tau)$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}$. Note that, by the surjectivity hypohtesis, $\mathfrak{h}\simeq\mathcal{H}_+/\mathcal{N}\simeq\mathcal{N}^\perp$, so that $\mathcal{H}_+\simeq\mathcal{N}\oplus\mathfrak{h}$.

Denoting by $\rho(A)$ the resolvent set of A, for any $z\in\rho(A)$ we define the two bounded linear operators

$$R(z):\mathcal{H}\to\mathcal{H}_+\,,\qquad R(z):=(-A+z)^{-1}$$

and

$$G(z):\mathcal{H}\to\mathfrak{h}\,,\qquad G(z):=(\tau R(\bar z))^*\,.$$

By [17], Lemma 2.1 (see also [1], Theorem A.1, for an analogous result), the denseness hypothesis on $\mathcal{N}$ is equivalent to

$$D(A)\cap\mathrm{Ran}(G(z))=\{0\} \tag{3.1}$$

and, as an immediate consequence of the first resolvent identity for $R(z)$ (see [15], Lemma 2.1),

$$(z-w)\,R(w)G(z)=G(w)-G(z)\,. \tag{3.2}$$

These relations imply

$$D(A)\cap\mathrm{Ran}(G(w)+G(z))=\{0\}$$

and

$$D(A)\supseteq\mathrm{Ran}(G(w)-G(z))\,.$$

Theorem 3.1. *Defining*

$$R:=R(i)\,,\quad G:=G(-i)\,,\quad G_*:=\frac{1}{2}\,(G(i)+G(-i))\,,$$

one has

$$A^*_{\mathcal{N}}\phi=A\phi_*+RG\zeta_\phi\,,$$
$$D(A^*_{\mathcal{N}})=\{\,\phi\in\mathcal{H}\,:\,\phi=\phi_*+G_*\zeta_\phi,\ \phi_*\in D(A),\ \zeta_\phi\in\mathfrak{h}\,\}\,.$$

Defining

$$\gamma_1:D(A^*_{\mathcal{N}})\to\mathfrak{h}\,,\qquad\gamma_1\,\phi:=-\tau\phi_*\,,$$
$$\gamma_2:D(A^*_{\mathcal{N}})\to\mathfrak{h}\,,\qquad\gamma_2\,\phi:=\zeta_\phi\,,$$

the triple $\{\,\mathfrak{h},\gamma_1,\gamma_2\,\}$ *is a boundary triple for* $A^*_{\mathcal{N}}$. *The corresponding Weyl function of* $A_{\mathcal{N}}$ *is*

$$\Gamma:\rho(A)\to\mathsf{B}(\mathfrak{h})\,,\qquad\Gamma(z)=\tau(G_*-G(z))\,.$$

Proof. The form of $D(A^*_{\mathcal{N}})$ was obtained in [17], Theorems 3.4 and 4.1. By (3.2)

$$RG=\frac{i}{2}\,(G(i)-G(-i))\,. \tag{3.3}$$

Thus the action of $A^*_{\mathcal{N}}$ on its domain follows from [17], Theorem 2.2.

Since A is self-adjoint

$$\langle A^*_{\mathcal{N}}\phi_*, \psi_*\rangle - \langle \phi_*, A^*_{\mathcal{N}}\psi_*\rangle = \langle A\phi_*, \psi_*\rangle - \langle \phi_*, A\psi_*\rangle = 0\,.$$

By (3.3)

$$G_* = -iRG + G\,. \tag{3.4}$$

Therefore one has

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle A^*_{\mathcal{N}}G_*\zeta_\phi, G_*\zeta_\psi\rangle - \langle G_*\zeta_\phi, A^*_{\mathcal{N}}G_*\zeta_\psi\rangle\\
=&\langle RG\zeta_\phi, G_*\zeta_\psi\rangle - \langle G_*\zeta_\phi, RG\zeta_\psi\rangle\\
=&-2i\langle RG\zeta_\phi, RG\zeta_\psi\rangle + \langle RG\zeta_\phi, G\zeta_\psi\rangle - \langle G\zeta_\phi, RG\zeta_\psi\rangle\\
=&-2i\langle RG\zeta_\phi, RG\zeta_\psi\rangle + \langle (-A-i)RG\zeta_\phi, RG\zeta_\psi\rangle\\
&-\langle (-A+i)RG\zeta_\phi, RG\zeta_\psi\rangle\\
=&\langle (2i + (-A-i) - (-A+i))RG\zeta_\phi, RG\zeta_\psi\rangle = 0\,,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle A^*_{\mathcal{N}}\phi_*, G_*\zeta_\psi\rangle - \langle \phi_*, A^*_{\mathcal{N}}G_*\zeta_\psi\rangle = \langle A\phi_*, G_*\zeta_\psi\rangle - \langle \phi_*, RG\zeta_\psi\rangle\\
=&-i\langle A\phi_*, RG\zeta_\psi\rangle + \langle A\phi_*, G\zeta_\psi\rangle - \langle \phi_*, RG\zeta_\psi\rangle\\
=&i\langle (-A-i)\phi_*, RG\zeta_\psi\rangle + \langle A\phi_*, G\zeta_\psi\rangle\\
=&i\langle \phi_*, G\zeta_\psi\rangle + \langle A\phi_*, G\zeta_\psi\rangle = -\langle (-A+i)\phi_*, G\zeta_\psi\rangle\\
=&-[G^*(-A+i)\phi_*, \zeta_\psi] = -[\tau\phi_*, \zeta_\psi]
\end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\langle A^*_{\mathcal{N}}G_*\zeta_\phi, \psi_*, \rangle - \langle G_*\zeta_\phi, A^*_{\mathcal{N}}\psi_*\rangle = [\zeta_\phi, \tau\psi_*]\,.$$

In conclusion

$$\langle A^*_{\mathcal{N}}\phi, \psi\rangle - \langle \phi, A^*_{\mathcal{N}}\psi\rangle = [-\tau\phi_*, \zeta_\psi] - [\zeta_\phi, -\tau\psi_*]\,.$$

Since

$$\mathcal{K}_z = \mathrm{Ker}(-A^*_{\mathcal{N}} + z) = \mathrm{Ran}(G(z))\,,$$

for any

$$\phi_z = G(z)\zeta \equiv (G(z) - G_*)\zeta + G_*\zeta$$

one has

$$\Gamma(z)\gamma_2\phi_z = \tau(G_* - G(z))\zeta = -\tau(\phi_z)_* = \gamma_1\phi_z\,.$$

□

By the above theorem we can characterize all the singular perturbations of A by (abstract) boundary conditions of the kind $\Theta\,\zeta_\phi = \tau\phi_*$:

Corollary 3.2. *Any singular perturbations $\hat A$ of the self-adjoint operator A is of the kind*

$$\hat A\phi = A\phi_* + RG\zeta_\phi\,,$$
$$D(\hat A) = \{\,\phi\in\mathcal{H}\,:\,\phi=\phi_*+G_*\zeta_\phi,\ \phi_*\in D(A),\ \zeta_\phi\in\mathfrak{h}\,,\ \Theta\,\zeta_\phi=\tau\phi_*\}\,,$$

where

$$\tau\in\mathsf{B}(\mathcal{H}_+,\mathfrak{h})\,,\quad \overline{\mathrm{Ker}(\tau)}=\mathcal{H}\,,\quad \mathrm{Ran}(\tau)=\mathfrak{h}$$

and Θ is a self-adjoint operator on the Hilbert space $\mathfrak{h}$. Moreover

$$z\in\rho(\hat A)\cap\rho(A)\iff 0\in\rho(\Theta+\tau(G_*-G(z)))$$

and

$$(-\hat A+z)^{-1}=(-A+z)^{-1}+G(z)(\Theta+\tau(G_*-G(z)))^{-1}G(\bar z)^*\,.$$

Remark 3.3. The above corollary was already obtained in [17] as a direct conseguence of the results contained in [15], without making use of the theory of boundary triples.

We conclude by determining the eigenvectors (and their multiplicity) of the singular perturbations of A. A similar result was obtained in [2] in the case of form-bounded (hence weakly singular) perturbations.

Theorem 3.4. *For any $\lambda\in\sigma_p(\hat A)\cap\rho(A)$, the map $\zeta\mapsto G(\lambda)\,\zeta$ is a bijection on* $\mathrm{Ker}(\Theta+\Gamma(\lambda))$ *to* $\mathrm{Ker}(-\hat A+\lambda)$.

Proof. At first let us note that

$$G(\lambda)\zeta\in D(\hat A)\iff\tau(G(\lambda)-G_*)\zeta=\Theta\zeta\iff(\Theta+\Gamma(\lambda))\zeta=0\,.$$

We also note that, by (3.2) and (3.4),

$$G(\lambda)-G_*=R(\lambda)(-\lambda G_*+RG)\,,$$

i.e.

$$(-A+\lambda)(G(\lambda)-G_*)=-\lambda G_*+RG\,.$$

Suppose now that $\zeta\in\mathrm{Ker}(\Theta+\Gamma(\lambda))$. Then $G(\lambda)\zeta\in D(\hat A)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}(-\hat A+\lambda)G(\lambda)\zeta&=(-\hat A+\lambda)((G(\lambda)-G_*)\zeta+G_*\zeta)\\ &=(-A+\lambda)(G(\lambda)-G_*)\zeta-RG\zeta+\lambda G_*\zeta\\ &=-\lambda G_*\zeta+RG\zeta-RG\zeta+\lambda G_*\zeta=0\,.\end{aligned}$$

Conversely suppose that $\phi\in\ker(-\hat A+\lambda)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}0&=(-\hat A+\lambda)\phi=(-A+\lambda)\phi_*-RG\zeta_\phi+\lambda G_*\zeta_\phi\\ &=(-A+\lambda)\phi_*-(-A+\lambda)(G(\lambda)-G_*)\zeta_\phi\\ &=(-A+\lambda)(\phi_*+(G_*-G(\lambda))\zeta_\phi)\,.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\lambda \in \rho(A)$, this implies $\phi_* + (G_* - G(\lambda))\zeta_\phi = 0$, which is equivalent to $\phi = G(\lambda)\zeta_\phi$. □

Remark 3.5. We know that the choice of a boundary triple is not unique. Therefore a different (from the one given in Theorem 3.1) choice leads to a different parametrization of the family of the singular perturbations of A. In particular the resolvents will depend on a different Weyl function $\tilde{\Gamma}$. In [15] it was obtained a Kreĭn-like formula which, in the terminology of the present paper, gives singular perturbations of A in terms of an arbitrary choice of a Weyl function. Since, given any Weyl function $\tilde{\Gamma}$, one has that $\tilde{\Gamma}(z) - \Gamma(z)$ is a z-independent self-adjoint operator (see [15], remark 2.3), if we denote by $\tilde{A}$ a singular perturbations of A given by a Weyl function $\tilde{\Gamma}$, we have that $\tilde{A} = \hat{A}$, were $\hat{A}$ is the singular perturbation given in Corollary 3.2 with $\Theta := \tilde{\Theta} + \tilde{\Gamma}(z) - \Gamma(z)$, $\tilde{\Theta}$ self-adjoint. Therefore Theorem 3.4 holds true independentently of the Weyl function (or, equivalently, of the boundary triple) one uses to describe the singular perturbations of A.

References

[1] S. Albeverio, W. Karwowski, V. Koshmanenko: Square Powers of Singularly Perturbed Operators. *Math. Nachr.* **173** (1995), 5-24

[2] J.F. Brasche, P. Exner, Yu.A. Kuperin, P. Seba: Schrödinger Operators with Singular Interactions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **184** (1994), 112-139

[3] J.F. Brasche, M.M. Malamud, H. Neidhardt: Weyl Functions and Spectral Properties of Self-Adjoint Extensions. *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **43** (2002), 264-289

[4] V.M. Bruk: A Certain Class of Boundary Value Problems with a Spectral Parameter in the Boundary Condition. *Math. USSR Sb.* **29** (1976), 186-192

[5] V.A. Derkach, M.M. Malamud: On the Weyl Functions and Hermitian Operators with Gaps. *Dokl. AN SSSR* **293** (1987), 1041-1046

[6] V.A. Derkach, M.M. Malamud: Generalized Resolvents and the Boundary Value Problem for Hermitian Operators with Gaps. *J. Funct. Anal.* **95** (1991), 1-95

[7] V.A. Derkach, M.M. Malamud: The Extension Theory of Hermitian Operators and the Moment Problem. *J. Math. Sc.* **73** (1995), 141-242

[8] F. Gesztesy, K.A. Makarov, E. Tsekanovskii: An Addendum to Krein's Formula. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **222** (1998), 594-606

[9] V.I. Gorbachuk, M.L. Gorbachuk: *Boundary Value Problems for Operator Differential Equations*. Dordrecht: Kluver 1991

[10] A.N. Kochubei: Extensions of Symmetric Operators and Symmetric Binary Relations. *Math. Notes* **17** (1975), 25-28

[11] V. Koshmanenko: Singular Operators as a Parameter of Self-Adjoint Extensions. *Oper. Theory Adv. Appl.* **118** (2000), 205-223

[12] M.G. Kreĭn: On Hermitian Operators with Deficiency Indices One. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **43** (1944), 339-342 [In Russian]

[13] M.G. Kreĭn: Resolvents of Hermitian Operators with Defect Index (m, m). *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **52** (1946), 657-660 [In Russian]
[14] J. von Neumann: Allgemeine Eigenwerttheorie Hermitscher Funktionaloperatoren. *Math. Ann.* **102** (1929-30), 49-131
[15] A. Posilicano: A Kreĭn-like Formula for Singular Perturbations of Self-Adjoint Operators and Applications. *J. Funct. Anal.* **183** (2001), 109-147
[16] A. Posilicano: Boundary Conditions for Singular Perturbations of Self-Adjoint Operators. *Oper. Theory Adv. Appl.* **132** (2002), 333-346
[17] A. Posilicano: Self-Adjoint Extensions by Additive Perturbations. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.*(5) **II** (2003), 1-20
[18] Sh.N. Saakjan: On the Theory of Resolvents of a Symmetric Operator with Infinite Deficiency Indices. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk Arm. SSR* **44** (1965), 193-198 [In Russian]

Dipartimento di Scienze, Università dell'Insubria, I-22100 Como, Italy
E-mail address: posilicano@uninsubria.it